Prevalence of Transfusion Transmitted Infection among Blood Donors in Tertiary Care Hospital

Yogesh Kumar¹, Monika Garg², Sushant Kumar Meinia³

MMIMS & R, MULLANA, AMBALA (INDIA)

Abstract: Transfusion-transmitted infections [Human immune deficiency virus (HIV), Hepatitis B virus (HBV), Hepatitis C virus (HCV), syphilis and malaria] acquired through the therapeutic blood transfusion process is a most important universal health problem in transfusion medicine. WHO, FDA and Indian government have selected mandatory testing criteria for each unit of blood in India. Design: Study was carried out over a period of 5 year i.e. 2009 to 2013. The conducted study was prospective and retrospective. The testing protocol was followed in the blood bank, after complete physical examination of blood donor by blood bank medical officer. Results: Over a five year period total blood donation was 10641, in which 9679 (90.39%) were replacement and remaining 962 (9.61%) were voluntary. There was a rise in number of voluntary donor over the five year period. The prevalence of HIV, HBsAg, HCV, Syphilis and Malaria was 11 (0.10%), 92 (0.86%), 129 (1.21%), 26 (0.24%) and 11 (0.10%) respectively. The seropositivity of HCV showed increasing trend from 2009 to 2013. The decreasing trends of prevalence were seen in HIV, Syphilis and Malaria. Conclusion: These findings propose that pre-donation counseling and donor self-exclusion will be effective in decreasing the TTI's as well as practices of autologus blood transfusion should be encouraged. Nucleic acid amplification testing (NAT) must be implemented that identify blood donation made during the immunological window period before seroconversion.

Keywords: HIV-Human Immunodeficiency Virus, HBSAg-Hepatitis B Surface Antigen, HCV-Hepatitis C Virus, VDRL-Vineral Disease research Laboratory, WHO-World Health Organization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Over a million blood units are collected from donor every year. Globally more than 81 million units of blood are donated each year. In blood transfusion risk of infection like HIV (Human Immunodeficiency Virus) Hepatitis B and C, Syphilis and Malaria and infrequently toxoplasmosis, brucellosis and viral infection like CMV, Epstein Barr Virus and Herpes Virus

Human immunodeficiency virus, the causative agent of Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) is found in pandemic proportions. HIV accounted for 38.6 million infections worldwide at the end of 2005.² Transmission of HIV and other blood-borne infections can occur during transfusion of blood components (i.e. whole blood, packed red cells, fresh-frozen plasma, cryoprecipitate, and platelets) derived from the blood of an infected individual.³

In 1965, Blumberg, observed a new antigen which gave named Australian antigen. It was subsequently shown to be the surface component of HBV. Therefore, the name Australian antigen was changed to hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg).⁴ the prevalence of chronic HBV infection in India ranges from 2% to 10%. India therefore comes under the intermediate to high endemicity category.⁵

Hepatitis C was first time described in 1989 in human beings. Ever since its discovery it became clear that this virus was the major cause of acute hepatitis after a blood transfusion that was neither related to hepatitis A nor to hepatitis B (hence the early name for this disease, non-A, non-B hepatitis). It has been estimated that the global prevalence of Hepatitis C

Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (243-248), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

virus (HCV) infection is around 2%, with 170 million persons chronically infected with the virus and 3 to 4 million persons newly infected each year.⁶

VDRL reactivity varies from 0.8% in voluntary donors to more than 15% in paid commercial donors. Only fresh blood and its components may transmit syphilis. In syphilis the stored blood is not discarded, after 72 hours at 4°C, the germs becomes ineffective. Syphilis may be co-infected with HIV, HBV and HCV. Transmission of malaria can occurs after transfusion of whole blood, red cell or any blood component contaminated with red cells.

Most government hospital and private hospital blood bank in India prefer ELISA kits, which can not detect HIV before 22 days, HBV before 59 days and HCV before 82 days of infection. Each unit of blood must be screened for the serologic testing of HIV, HBV, HCV, syphilis and for the presence of malarial parasite.

Method and Materials: The prospective and retrospective 5 year study (i.e. 2009 to 2013) was undertaken to determine the prevalence of transfusion transmitted infection among blood donors in the Blood Bank of Department of Pathology in M.M. Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Mullana, Ambala.

Inclusion criteria: Physically fit blood donors aged between 18 to 65 years.

Exclusion criteria: Exclusion criteria followed in blood donors who had previous history of HIV, HBV, and HCV infection. If the donor below 18 years weighted less than 45kg, having anemia and history of jaundice within past six months, high risk behavior of drug use, unsafe intercourse and donated blood within past three months were also excluded.

Diagnostic setting: 3rd generation Microlisa was used to detect the HIV Antigen and Antibody in donor serum. 4th generation Hepalisa was used for detection of Hepatitis B Surface Antigen and 4th generation HCV Microlisa was used for detection of HCV Antibody in serum or plasma of donor. The Sero-Max RPR (slide agglutination method) was used for VDRL screening. The malarial parasite was detected by Advantage Pan Malaria Card.

2. RESULTS

We evaluated a total of 10641 units of blood during 1st January 2009 to 31st December 2013. The results were interpreted and following details were drawn in tables.

| Study Years | Unit Collection |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 2009 | 1212 |
| 2010 | 1531 |
| 2011 | 2324 |
| 2012 | 2636 |
| 2013 | 2928 |
| Total Collection | 10,641 |

Table I: Blood Collection During Study Period

Table showing the year wise collection of blood units, year 2009 show the lowest collection (1212), and the highest collection was found in 2013 (2928). Result also shows increased blood collection in every year.

Table II: Distribution Of Voluntary And Replacement Donor

| Year | Voluntary | % of Voluntary | Replacement | % of Replacement | |
|-------|-----------|----------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| 2009 | 165 | 13.60% | 1047 | 86.40% | |
| 2010 | 155 | 10.12% | 1376 | 89.87% | |
| 2011 | 180 | 7.75% | 2144 | 92.25% | |
| 2012 | 216 | 8.21% | 2420 | 91.79% | |
| 2013 | 246 | 8.40% | 2682 | 91.60% | |
| Total | 962 | 9.61% | 9679 | 90.39% | |

Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (243-248), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Out of 10641 blood donation, 9679 (90.39%) were replacement and 962 (9.61%) were volunteer. The highest volunteer donation trend seen in 1st year of study 165 (13.60%), this trends continuously decreased from 2009 to 2011. After this period, number of volunteer donor slightly increased per year and trend reached 7.75% (2011) to 8.40% (2013) in the last years of study. The number of voluntary donor increased every year but the trends shows constant in over five year study.

Table III: TTI Positive Donor In Voluntary And Replacement Donation

| Years | Vol/Rep | Total | Total % of Vol/Rep |
|-------|---------|-------|--------------------|
| 2009 | 06/18 | 24 | 2.5% / 6.7 % |
| 2010 | 02/09 | 11 | 0.7 % / 3.3 % |
| 2011 | 05/79 | 84 | 1.8 % / 28.9 % |
| 2012 | 05/61 | 66 | 1.8 % / 22.2 % |
| 2013 | 04/80 | 84 | 1.5 % / 28.9 % |
| Total | 22/247 | 269 | 8.3 % / 91.7 % |

Result shows the 247 (91.7%) replacement and 22 (8.3%) voluntary blood donors. And we found that the trends of replacement blood donors are more susceptible for infection than the volunteer.

Table IV: Distribution Of Seropositivity

| Infections | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | Total |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| HIV | 01 (0.08%) | 01 (0.06%) | 05 (0.21%) | 01 (0.03%) | 03 (0.10%) | 11 (0.10%) |
| HBV | 07 (0.57%) | 04 (0.26%) | 29 (1.21%) | 22 (0.84%) | 30 1.02%) | 92 (0.86%) |
| HCV | 11 (0.90%) | 03 (0.19%) | 35 (1.50%) | 38 (1.44) | 42 (1.43%) | 129 (1.21%) |
| Malaria | 02 (0.16%) | 0 (0%) | 06 (0.25%) | 01 (0.03%) | 02 0.06%) | 11 (0.10%) |
| Syphilis | 03 (0.245) | 03 (0.19%) | 09 (0.38%) | 04 (0.15%) | 07 0.23%) | 26 (0.24%) |
| Total | 24 (1.9%) | 11 (0.71%) | 84 (3.61%) | 66 (2.50%) | 84 (2.86%) | 269 (2.52%) |

Seropositivity distribution shows the high prevalence in year 2011 (3.61%), 1012(2.50%) and 2013 (2.86%). And the particular trend of HCV 129 (1.21%) donors was much higher in this region.

Table V: Co-Infected TTI'S

| Year | HBV-HCV | HBV-SYPHILIS | HIV-SYPHILIS | HIV-HCV | TOTAL |
|-------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|-------|
| 2009 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 2010 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 2011 | 01 | 01 | 02 | 00 | 04 |
| 2012 | 02 | 00 | 00 | 01 | 03 |
| 2013 | 01 | 01 | 00 | 00 | 02 |
| TOTAL | 04 | 02 | 02 | 01 | 09 |

Our results show the co-infection between HBV-HCV (4) is higher than the other co-infection like as HBV-Syphilis (2) and HIV-Syphilis (2), HIV-HCV was only one. Total co-infected TTI's during five year study was 09. In first two year of study there was no co-infected donor seen.

Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (243-248), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Year A В AB \mathbf{o} +ve -ve

Table VI: Distribution Of Blood Group Positivity Of TTI Positive Donors

Table shows highest transfusion transmitted infection positivity (103) in 'B' positive blood group and lowest (23) in 'AB' donors. These results are predominantly similar with prevalence of ABO in India.

Total

3. DISCUSSION

In recent years there has been a special interest in donor selection strategies in blood banks in order to provide safe blood supply.

In our study 90.39% of the donors were replacement donor and remaining 9.61% were voluntary donor. In a similar study by Kakkar et al 94.7% of donors were replacement donors. Makroo et al 94.2% of their donors were replacement donor and 85.6% replacement donors in a study carried out by Chattoraj A et al, whereas in Chandigarh 55% replacement donor in a study by Kaur G et al. This probably reflects a basic lack of awareness in general population, the presence of misconception and fear of donating blood also the problem.

The trends of HIV sero-positivity is decreasing over the years. The prevalence of HIV reported in Indian blood donors ranges from 0.084% to 3.87%. In our five year study, the prevalence of HIV was 0.10% (range 0.009% - 0.047%). The similar results showed 0.12% in 2003, 0.17% in 2004 and 0.10% in 2005 by Chattoraj et al. In Singh et al showed increased HIV seropositivity 0.54% in Delhi. And similar results showed in previous study at Mullana resulted 0.09% HIV positivity by Garg M et al. In South-African countries HIV prevalence are much greater than our results and other developing countries.

In HBV positivity, we find out decreased prevalence of HBV 0.86% than 1.0% in 2008 at Mullana by Garg M et al¹⁴ and according to WHO classification the area qualify as a low prevalence area (< 1%). In Chandigarh and south Haryana prevalence of HBV was higher than the present study and in south-Indian region this positivity rate more higher noted (2.82%) by Rangroo et al in Maharashtra¹⁵ and 2.27% in West Bengal by Sinha et al in voluntary donors.¹⁶ The most widely used HBV marker, namely HBsAg is not usually detecting the window period of the infection. Our results came under low prevalence.

In our study the HCV prevalence is 1.21% (ranging 0.10% in 2009 to 0.39% in 2013) much higher than the past five year results (0.65%) by Garg M et al. ¹⁴ The other parts of India show the low prevalence except Punjab region (1.38%) by Kaur H et al. ¹⁷

In five years of study, we found 0.24% syphilis positivity in blood donor these results are similar with 0.22% in Maharashtra by Rangroo et al. ¹⁵ And significantly decreased than 0.5% was resulted by Garg M et al in 2008 at Mullana, Haryana. ¹⁴ And also lower than 0.5% showed by Biswas et al in Rajasthan ¹⁸ and 0.7% by Kaur G et al from Chandigarh. ¹²

In the contrast of South-African countries our results are much better but in the comparison of developed countries our results are so high, due to unimproved donor selection processes and fresh blood transfusion (without storage).

In this study we found 0.10% prevalence of Malaria where as zero percentage detected by Piyush A et al in Gujarat. ¹⁹ In foreign the malaria trends much high 61.66% in Nigeria by Okolie NJ et al. ²⁰

Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (243-248), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Transfusion transmits infection in Mullana in 2013 (2.52%) is so similar with Garg M et al 2.25% (2008) at Mullana, ¹⁴ Biswas et al in 2010 (2.26%) at Sri Ganganagar¹⁸ and 3.8% in Chandigarh detected by Kaur G et al in 2005. ¹² But our trends are much higher than 0.53% (2011) in Ahmedabad by Piyush et al. ¹⁹ In East India (Calcutta) Sinha SK et al resulted much more (5.8%) positivity in 2008 is higher ¹⁶ than our results (2.25%). In the comparison of previous study in Mullana, we found 0.27% more positivity.

Lower education level, being a labor/agriculture workers, residence in rural area, and history of blood transfusion, tattooing, intravenous drug use and sexual promiscuity were among the significant risk factors for transfusion transmitted infection in our donation. A particularly high prevalence of HCV and HBV infection has been found in uneducated blood donor population, indirectly indicating low socioeconomic condition. The increases in voluntary donors may be attributed to the increasing public awareness and involvement of government bodies like NACO (National AIDS Control Organisation) who actively propagate voluntary donation in our country.

4. CONCLUSION

Our study also suggests the development and testing of appropriate approaches for setting up a blood bank system based on voluntary blood donation. Pre-donation counseling and donor self-exclusion will be effective in decreasing the TTI's as well as practices of autologus blood transfusion should be encouraged. Certain control measures should be taken like immunization, the most effective and cost saving means of prevention, along with education.

REFERENCES

- [1] Widdman FK. In: Technical manual American Association of Blood Banks. Pub John Wiley. Anglington USA. 1985;325-44.
- [2] Carpenter CC, Cooper DA, Fischi MA. Antiretroviral therapy update and recommendation of the international AIDS society USA panel. JAMA. 2000;28(3):381-90.
- [3] Donegan E, Lee H, Operskalski EA. Transfusion transmission of retroviruses. In: Human T-lymphotropic virus types I and II compared with human immunodeficiency virus type-I. Transfusion. 1994;34:478-83.
- [4] Kumar S. Hepatitis Viruses, In: Text Book of Microbiology, Jaypee pub New Delhi. 2012;603.
- [5] Prevention of hepatitis B in India, An overview. World Health Organization. New Delhi 2002. (www.whqlibdoc.who.int/searo)
- [6] Choo QL, Kuo G, Weiner AJ, Overby LR, Bradley DW et al. Isolation of a cDNA clone derived from a blood-borne non-A, non-B viral hepatitis genome. J Virol. 1989;244;359-62.
- [7] Dutta AB. Transfusion Practice Clinical Aspect and Application. In: Blood Banking and Transfusion. 1st edition. CBS publisher and distributor, 2006:249-77.
- [8] Uneke CJ, Ogbu O, Nwojiji V. Potential risk of malaria by blood transfusion in South-eastern Nigeria. Mc Gill J of Med. 2006;9:8-13.
- [9] Kakkar N, Kaur R, Dhanoa J. Voluntary donors-need for a second look. Indian J Pathol-Microbiol. 2004;47381-3.
- [10] Makroo RN, Chowdhry M, Bhatia A, Arora B, Rosamma NL. Prevalence of HIV among blood in a tertiary care centre of north India. Indian J of Med Res. 2011;134:950-3.
- [11] Chattoraj A, Behl R, Kataria VK. Infectious Disease Marker in Blood Donors. Med J of Armed Forces of India. 2008;64:33-5.
- [12] Kaur G, Basu S, Kaur R, Kaur P, Garg S. Patterns of infections among blood donors in a tertiary care centre: A retrospective study. National Med J India. 2010;23(3):147-9.
- [13] Singh B, Verma M, Kotru M, Verma K, Batra M. Prevalence of HIV & VDRL seropositivity in blood donors of Delhi. Indian J of Med Res. 2005;122:234-6.
- [14] Garg M, Kataria SP, Bedi S. Infectious Markers among the Blood Donors Attending the Blood Bank in Rural Haryana Prevalence and Trends. JDMIMSU. 2011;6(2):69-73.

Vol. 3, Issue 1, pp: (243-248), Month: April 2015 - September 2015, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

- [15] Rangrao H, Bhosale S, Godgil PA, Sonavane M. Blood Donor's Status of HIV, HBV, HCV and Syphilis in this Region of Marathwada, Latur (India). JKIMSU. 2012;1(2):111-6.
- [16] Sinha SK, Roychoudhury S, Biswas K, Biswas P, Bandopadhyay R. Prevalence of HIV, Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C and Syphilis in donor's blood: A studyfrom eastern part of India. J of Hematol. 2012;3(1):1-6.
- [17] Kaur H, Manjari M, Thaman RG, Singh G. Prevalence of Markers of Hepatitis C virus among the Blood Donors at Vallah, Amritsar. J of Clinical and Diagnose Res. 2012;6(6):959-62.
- [18] Sabharwal ER, Biswas NK, Purohit V. Prevalence and patterns of transfusion transmissible infections among blood donors in Srianganagar, Rajasthan, India: A retrospective study. JPBMS. 2012;15(15):1-3.
- [19] Piyush A, Patel S, Oza HV. Seroprevalence of Transfusion Transmitted Infections (TTIs) in Blood Donors at Western Ahmedabad A Secondary Care Hospital Based Study. Int J Biol Med Res. 2012;3(2):1806-10.
- [20] Okolie NJC. Seropositivity of Malaria Parasite and Syphilis among Prospective Blood Donors in Federal Medical Centre Qwerri, Nigeria. Inter Sci Res J. 2008;1(2):93-8.